UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,458 09/18/2003		Earl O. Bergersen	BER-P-03-050	7295
29013 P & TFNTS+TN	7590 04/13/2007 AS P.C	EXAMINER		
PATENTS+TMS, P.C. 2849 W. ARMITAGE AVE.			DAWSON, GLENN K	
CHICAGO, IL 60647			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			04/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/665,458	BERGERSEN, EARL O.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Glenn K. Dawson	3731		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -THE REPLY FILED 07 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To evoid shandoness.

1.	tl p	his application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, a places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the Request for Continued Exemination (RCE) in compliance with 27 CER 4.444. The results are recognitive to the continued Exemination (RCE) in compliance with 27 CER 4.444. The results are recognitive to the continued Exemination (RCE) in compliance with 27 CER 4.444. The results are recognitive to the continued Exemination (RCE) in compliance with 27 CER 4.444. The results are recognitive to the continued Exemination (RCE) in compliance with 27 CER 4.444. The results are recognitive to the continued Exemination (RCE) in compliance with 27 CER 4.444. The results are recognitive to the continued Exemination (RCE) in compliance with 27 CER 4.444. The results are recognitive to the continued to	ffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
		Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply nime periods:	nust be filed within one of the following
	a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	b) 🛭	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fort no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejection.
		Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
ha un se	eve be der 3 et forth	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 sen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply oring in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	t of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) a
		CE OF APPEAL	
	fi a	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be iling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in	to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
		<u>DMENTS</u>	
3.	. (The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brie a) \boxtimes They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NO	f, will <u>not</u> be entered because DTE below);
		b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially r appeal; and/or	educing or simplifying the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.
		NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4.		The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5.		Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	n	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate non-allowable claim(s).	
7.	h T	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \boxtimes will not be entered, or b) \square whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 7-26,28-36,43-46.	vill be entered and an explanation of
		Claim(s) objected to: 3,27 and 39.	
	_	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-6,37,38 and 40-42</u> .	
		Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
		AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8.	b	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a forecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidated vas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered not or other evidence is necessary and
	e	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appearance and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
		The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	entry is below or attached.
11	I. 🔲	The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application	in condition for allowance because:
		Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) Other:	Glenn/K Dawson
			Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3731

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments to claims 1 and 37 incorporate new limitations changing the scope of these claims and it would require further consideration of the cited art and possibly a new search to determine their patentability..